REVIEW ARTICLE Nodulation outer proteins: double-edged swords of symbiotic rhizobia

Christian Staehelin*^{†1} and Hari B. Krishnan[‡]

*State Key Laboratory of Biocontrol and Guangdong Key Laboratory of Plant Resources, School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, East Campus, Guangzhou 510006, China †Shenzhen Research and Development Center of State Key Laboratory of Biocontrol, School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, Baoan, Shenzhen 518057, China ‡Plant Genetics Research Unit, United States Department of Agriculture – Agriculture Research Service, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, U.S.A.

Rhizobia are nitrogen-fixing bacteria that establish a nodule symbiosis with legumes. Nodule formation depends on signals and surface determinants produced by both symbiotic partners. Among them, rhizobial Nops (nodulation outer proteins) play a crucial symbiotic role in many strain-host combinations. Nops are defined as proteins secreted via a rhizobial T3SS (type III secretion system). Functional T3SSs have been characterized in many rhizobial strains. Nops have been identified using various genetic, biochemical, proteomic, genomic and experimental approaches. Certain Nops represent extracellular components of the T3SS, which are visible in electron micrographs as bacterial surface appendages called T3 (type III) pili. Other Nops are T3 effector proteins that can be translocated into plant cells. Rhizobial T3 effectors manipulate cellular processes in host cells to suppress plant defence responses against rhizobia and to promote symbiosis-related processes. Accordingly, mutant strains deficient in synthesis or secretion of T3 effectors show

INTRODUCTION

Rhizobia are nitrogen-fixing bacteria that can infect leguminous host plants, usually by entering via root hairs. As a result, an infection thread is formed and the bacteria convert atmospheric nitrogen into ammonia in symbiosomes of formed root nodules. Nodule formation depends on molecular signals and determinants produced by both symbiotic partners. Plant roots secrete flavonoids, which interact with rhizobial NodD proteins to activate symbiotic genes with a conserved *nod* box in the promoter region. Most of these nod (noe, nol) genes encode enzymes required for synthesis of bacterial nodulation signals, called Nod factors (lipochito-oligosaccharidic nodulation factors). Host plants perceive Nod factors by Nod factor receptors (LysM domain receptor kinases) and initiate nodulation signalling to express symbiotic genes required for infection and nodule formation [1]. In addition to Nod factors, nodule formation may be affected by rhizobial surface carbohydrates such as exopolysaccharides, lipopolysaccharides, K-antigens and cyclic β -glucans. These polysaccharides, or oligosaccharides derived from them, are host-specific symbiotic determinants, i.e. they are required for nodulation of certain host plants [2]. Host-specific nodulation may also depend on Nops (nodulation outer proteins) secreted by the rhizobial T3SS (type III secretion system). The T3SS of Gram-negative bacteria is a complex multiprotein secretion apparatus that actively exports proteins through the

reduced symbiotic properties on certain host plants. On the other hand, direct or indirect recognition of T3 effectors by plant cells expressing specific R (resistance) proteins can result in effector triggered defence responses that negatively affect rhizobial infection. Hence Nops are double-edged swords that may promote establishment of symbiosis with one legume (symbiotic factors) and impair symbiotic processes when bacteria are inoculated on another legume species (asymbiotic factors). In the present review, we provide an overview of our current understanding of Nops. We summarize their symbiotic effects, their biochemical properties and their possible modes of action. Finally, we discuss future perspectives in the field of T3 effector research.

Key words: effector, nodulation outer protein, plant defence, root nodule, symbiosis, type III secretion system.

lumen of needle-like or tubular structures, the T3 (type III) pili. T3SSs of pathogenic or symbiotic bacteria possess the capacity to deliver effector proteins (T3 effectors) directly into eukaryotic host cells and therefore were also named 'injectisomes'. We define Nops as rhizobial proteins that are either extracellular apparatus components of the T3SS or secreted T3 effectors.

The translocated T3 effectors often target components of the host immune system to increase bacterial survival and virulence [3,4]. A function of T3 effectors as bacterial virulence or avirulence factors has been well documented for many pathogenic bacteria, including phytopathogens such as Pseudomonas syringae [5] and Xanthomonas campestris [6]. In a similar way, rhizobial T3 effectors have been found to play a role in certain symbiotic interactions between rhizobia and legumes. On the basis of mutant analysis and identification of secreted proteins, functional T3SSs have been reported for various nodule-inducing bacteria belonging to the genera Sinorhizobium (Ensifer) [7-9], Bradyrhizobium [10-14], Mesorhizobium [15-17] and Cupriavidus [18]. Furthermore, recent sequencing data revealed the presence of a T3SS gene cluster in the genome of a nodule-inducing Burkholderia strain [19]. Thus the presence of a symbiosis-related T3SS in rhizobia tends to be the rule rather than the exception, particularly for Bradyrhizobium strains. As in pathogenic bacteria, rhizobial genes required for synthesis of the T3SS are clustered in the genome. Their genetic organization and the corresponding classification of rhizobial T3SSs into different

Abbreviations: ETI, effector-triggered immunity; LRR, leucine-rich repeat; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; NEL, novel E3 ubiquitin ligase; Nop, nodulation outer protein; PR, pathogen-related; ROS, reactive oxygen species; R, resistance; SR, signal-responsive; T3, type III; T3SS, type III secretion system; TIR, Toll/interleukin-1 receptor.

To whom correspondence should be addressed (email cst@mail.sysu.edu.cn).



Figure 1 The rhizobial T3SS

Electron micrographs show T3 pili (surface appendages) produced by *S. fredii* USDA257 grown in the presence (**A**) or absence (**B**) of 1 µM apigenin (the arrow points to a T3 pilus and the arrowhead to a flagellum). The proposed model of the rhizobial T3SS (**C**) is based on a recently published T3SS apparatus model [23] and the sequence similarities of indicated rhizobial proteins with T3SS apparatus proteins from other bacteria [20]. Effector proteins (various Nops) are delivered from the bacterial cytoplasma (bottom) through the lumen of the T3 pilus (composed of the pilus subunit NopA and the translocon NopX) into the host cytoplasma (top). Protein names according to the unified nomenclature for T3SS proteins using the Sct (SeCreTion) prefix are indicated in parentheses [20]. Putative pilotins (such as Y4yS [25]) implicated in secretin assembly are not shown. Abbreviations: C ring, cytosolic ring; CW, cell wall; HM, host membrane, IM, inner membrane; MS ring, membrane and supramembrane ring; OM, outer membrane.

categories have been reviewed recently [20,21]. In the present review, we provide an overview on Nops, in particular on rhizobial T3 effectors.

NOPS ARE SECRETED THROUGH THE T3SS APPARATUS IN RESPONSE TO HOST FLAVONOIDS

Bacterial T3SSs show structural similarities to bacterial flagella, suggesting neofunctionalization of flagellum proteins for protein export during evolution [22,23]. Long T3 pili, also known as surface appendages, can be seen clearly on electron microscopy pictures of rhizobia cultured in the presence of host flavonoids [24] (Figures 1A and 1B). The rhizobial T3SS apparatus has been proposed to be similar to that of pathogenic bacteria. Figure 1(C) shows a model of the rhizobial T3SS apparatus on the basis of homology with apparatus proteins of pathogenic bacteria and the conserved structure of bacterial T3SSs. The pilus subunit protein NopA, however, does not show amino acid sequence similarities to pilus proteins from other bacteria. Nevertheless, NopA possesses predicted secondary-structure characteristics of

pilus subunit proteins in other bacteria [26,27]. T3 effectors are actively secreted through the lumen of the pilus with the help of the associated ATPase RhcN. Similarly to T3 effectors of pathogenic bacteria, rhizobial effector proteins can be translocated into host cells. For confirmation of translocation into legume cells, T3 effectors fused to adenylate cyclase were expressed in rhizobia and cAMP formation was measured in infected roots or nodule cells. Using this approach, translocation of the T3 effector NopP from Sinorhizobium fredii USDA257 into Vigna unguiculata roots was demonstrated [28]. Likewise, the NopE1 and NopE2 effectors of Bradyrhizobium japonicum USDA110 were found to be translocated into infected cells of Macroptilium atropurpureum nodules [29]. The pilus-associated protein NopX, formerly called NoIX, [8,30,31] is a putative translocon protein related to translocon proteins of phyopathogens such as HrpF of X. campestris [32] and PopF1/PopF2 of Ralstonia solanacearum [33]. These translocon proteins mediate translocation of T3 effectors into plant cells by forming a pore in the host membrane through which effectors can enter [34]. HrpF possesses lipidbinding activity in vitro and can induce pore formation in planar lipid bilayers [32]. The model shown in Figure 1(C) also implies that rhizobial T3 pili are connected directly to the host cytoplasma. Alternatively, T3 effectors are first secreted into the symbiotic interface and later translocated with the help of NopX. Immunohistochemical localization studies showed that NopX of *S. fredii* USDA257 is mainly accumulated in infection threads [31].

Secretion through the T3SS and subsequent translocation of effectors depends on the N-terminal region of the effector proteins. However, the mechanism of substrate recognition by the T3SS remains largely unknown. Various computational methods have been established to predict T3SS substrates on the basis of N-terminal amino acid sequences [35-39]. However, such algorithms must be treated with caution and require experimental confirmation. Bioinformatic prediction of T3SS substrates is also complicated by the possibility that the information required for secretion may also lie within the mRNA. Frameshift mutations that completely altered the N-terminal amino acid sequence of T3 effectors did not prevent their secretion through the T3SS [40]. Compared with T3 effectors from pathogenic bacteria, Nops seem to possess certain conserved features. Rhizobial proteins related to the T3SS could be clearly discriminated from those of plant pathogenic bacteria when amino acid sequences were analysed using statistical and machine learning methods [41,42]. Nevertheless, Nops known to be secreted by rhizobial T3SS can also be secreted through the T3SS of P. syringae [28,43,44], indicating that N-terminal secretion signals are interchangeable among symbiotic and pathogenic bacteria.

Expression of most rhizobial T3SS genes usually depends on TtsI, a transcriptional regulator that controls expression of various flavonoid-inducible genes including those related to the T3SS. TtsI-regulated genes possess a promoter with a tts box, a conserved cis element required for TtsI-dependent gene expression [10,13,45-47]. For strain S. fredii NGR234, direct binding of TtsI to the tts box promoter region of two TtsI-regulated genes has been demonstrated by electrophoretic mobility-shift and DNase I cleavage protection assays [47]. In Bradyrhizobium elkanii SEMIA587, but not other strains, TtsI can also upregulate its own expression since the *ttsI* promoter region contains a functional tts box [13]. Like nod genes required for Nod factor synthesis, *ttsI* genes possess a *nod* box in their promoter. Accordingly, expression of *ttsI* (as determined by promoter-*lacZ* reporter gene fusion constructs) is strongly induced by flavonoids in a NodD-dependent manner [10,48,49]. Negative regulation of ttsI gene expression by the regulatory protein NoIR has been also reported. NoIR appears to bind to a specific operator sequence in the ttsI promoter and thereby interferes with the action of NodD transcriptional activators [49,50]. As expression of ttsI usually depends on NodD proteins and specific host flavonoids, T3 pilus formation (Figures 1A and 1B) and subsequent secretion of T3 effectors through the T3SS are strongly induced during the rhizobial infection process.

IDENTIFICATION OF NOPs

Plant flavonoids of host plants are important signals for NodDdependent activation of rhizobial *nod* genes and subsequent Nod factor production [2]. In the course of these *nod* gene studies, flavonoid-responsive promoters were identified by random insertion of a promoterless galactosidase reporter gene into the rhizobial genome. Using this method, two flavonoid-induced genes (host-inducible genes A and B) were identified in *S. fredii* USDA201. The mutants obtained showed reduced nodulation competiveness on soya bean (or soybean, *Glycine max*) plants, i.e. were outcompeted by the wild-type strain [51]. Activation of host-inducible gene B (later named *nolJ*) by flavonoids was found to be dependent on a functional *nodD1* gene. Nodulation experiments with the *nolJ* mutant and soya bean plants resulted in delayed nodule formation indicating a positive effect of this gene on symbiosis [52]. Furthermore, a gene of *Rhizobium etli* strain CNPAF512 showed sequence similarities to the host-inducible gene A of USDA201. A corresponding mutant induced delayed nodule formation on common beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) and reduced nodulation competitiveness compared with the wild-type strain [53]. At that time, the scientists reporting these findings could not know that they had characterized *nop* genes (encoding NopP and NopC respectively).

Other genes related to the T3SS were identified in the context of the phenomenon that certain S. fredii strains nodulate soya bean in a cultivar-specific manner. It was observed that soya bean cultivar McCall did not induce nodules when inoculated with S. fredii USDA257 [54] (Figure 2A), a strain that efficiently nodulates other soya bean cultivars such as Hardee [59]. Subsequent Tn5 mutagenesis of USDA257 resulted in mutant derivatives that gained the ability to induce nodules on McCall (Figure 2B). These findings showed that specific genes in USDA257 blocked nodulation of McCall [55]. The blockage of nodulation was found to occur at the stage of infection, as USDA257 induced on McCall only few aberrant infection threads [60]. Sequencing of the Tn5-tagged locus in USDA257 and further mutant analysis resulted in identification of a cluster of rhizobial genes involved in host-specific nodulation (nolXWBTUV; for synonymous gene names, see Supplementary Table S1) [61]. A nearly identical locus was later identified in the broadhost-range strain S. fredii NGR234 [62]. Similarly to nolJ of USDA201 [52], expression of these genes was found to be dependent on NodD proteins and flavonoids. When bacteria were grown in the presence of flavonoids, the NolX (later renamed NopX) and NoIT proteins could be immunologically detected with raised antibodies [7,30,63]. As specific proteins in USDA257 and NGR234 were found to accumulate in bacterial culture supernatants in response to flavonoids [64], it was tested whether secretion of flavonoid-inducible proteins depends on the nolXWBTUV locus. This was indeed the case for five extracellular proteins, called SR (signal-responsive) proteins. [7]. The SR proteins were later renamed Nops (Table 1). Identification of T3SSs in pathogenic bacteria and sequencing of corresponding rhizobial genes (rhc genes) downstream of the nolXWBTUV locus (accession number L12251.1 for USDA257; accession number U00090.1 for NGR234) indicated that a rhizobial T3SS is required for secretion of Nops [30,65]. Similarly to the T3SS-deficient mutants of USDA257, a T3SS-knockout derivative of NGR234 (mutation in the *rhcN* ATPase gene) failed to secrete Nops. N-terminal amino acid sequencing resulted in identification of two Nops of NGR234, namely the previously identified NoIX (NopX) and NopL (y4xL locus) [8]. In addition to USDA257 and NGR234, functional T3SSs and corresponding Nops were subsequently characterized in various other rhizobial strains (Table 1). Most Nops were identified by comparing extracellular protein profiles of wild-type bacteria with those of mutant strains with non-functional T3SSs. Alternatively, T3 pili (surface appendages) were purified from bacterial culture supernatants. Proteins were subsequently used for microsequencing or mass spectrometry analysis. Comparisons of extracellular protein profiles of flavonoid-induced with those of non-induced cultures also helped to identify Nops as it turned out that expression of nop genes is tightly regulated by TtsI and NodD proteins. An exception is B. elkanii USDA61, which secretes Nops independently of flavonoid inducers [12]. In many cases, T3SS-dependent secretion of Nops (wild-type compared with T3SS-knockout mutant) was



Figure 2 Symbiotic phenotypes of nop mutants

The examples shown indicate negative (**A**–**D**) and positive (**E** and **F**) effects of Nops on the nodule symbiosis. (**A**) *S. fredii* USDA257 (wild-type) secreting Nops on soya bean cv. McCall showing nodulation blockage. (**B**) USDA257 T3SS-knockout mutant (DH4; *rhcU* mutant) on soya bean cv. McCall forming normal effective (nitrogen-fixing) nodules [55]. (**C**) *S. fredii* NGR234 (wild-type) on *C. juncea* inducing mainly ineffective nodules (NopT functions as asymbiotic factor). (**D**) NGR234 *nopT* mutant on *C. juncea* inducing mainly effective nodules [56,57]. (**E**) Bacteroids of NGR234 (wild-type) in infected cells of mature *P. vulgaris* cv. Tendergreen nodules (NopL functions as symbiotic factor). (**F**) Bacteroids of the NGR234 *nopL* mutant in infected cells of Tendergreen nodules showing premature senescence (necrotic nodules) [58].

confirmed by immunoblot analysis using a specific antiserum, either raised against a given protein expressed in *Escherichia coli* or against a corresponding synthetic peptide. Using already available antisera against other Nops, it could be examined whether Nop secretion is maintained or abolished in a constructed *nop* gene mutant. In this way, it was found that the NopB proteins of USDA257 and NGR2334 are required for a functional T3SS [68,69], whereas other Nops with putative effector functions are not essential for T3SS-dependent protein secretion. Examples of Nops characterized in this way are NopL [56,69,74], NopP [77], NopT [56,57] and NopM [57] of NGR234. Antisera against Nops were also extremely useful for biochemical characterization of purified T3 pili. NopA, NopB and NopX proteins were co-purified with pili of *S. fredii* strains [24,26,68,69] and it was later found that these three proteins interact directly with one another [83].

Certain Nops such as NopT and NopM are similar to T3 effectors of pathogenic bacteria (Table 1). In fact, sequence comparisons with existing effector gene databases are helpful when searching for novel nop genes in rhizobial genomes. Candidate nop genes can also be identified on the basis of information from whole-genome gene expression data (genes induced by flavonoids) and location in the T3SS gene clusters [21]. Moreover, nop gene candidates can be predicted on a wholegenome scale by identification of *tts* boxes in promoter regions [10,16,43-47] and computational prediction of N-terminal T3SSspecific secretion signal sequences [35-39]. Nop candidate proteins (or the N-terminal domain containing the putative secretion signal sequence) fused to C-terminal tags (e.g. GFP or FLAG tag) can be expressed in wild-type rhizobia and a T3SSdeficient mutant for further analysis. T3SS-dependent secretion of such test proteins can then be analysed by fluorescence microscopy or immunological methods [16,68,72]. By definition, Nops should be secreted by wild-type bacteria, but not by a T3SS-knockout mutant. Using this approach, four FLAGtagged candidate effectors of Mesorhizobium loti MAFF303099 were found to be secreted via the T3SS [16,72]. Another way to characterize candidates is to test them in a heterologous Pseudomonas-Arabidopsis translocation system. A given Nop candidate fused to Δ 79AvrRpt2 (P. syringae effector AvrRpt2 lacking the N-terminal secretion signal) is expressed in P. syringae strain PtoDC3000 (or its T3SS-deficient mutant $\Delta hrcC$ as control). In the case of translocation of the hybrid protein, infiltrated leaves will induce rapid cell death caused by AvrRpt2 action. Tests performed in this way indicated translocation for most previously identified Nops (Table 1). In addition, many novel proteins from various rhizobial strains were found and designated as Nops [43,44]. In our opinion, such classification is somehow too early as secretion of these proteins through a rhizobial T3SS was not tested. In the present review, we excluded all Nops from Table 1 that were only tested in the heterologous Pseudomonas-Arabidopsis translocation system and instead list them separately in Supplementary Table S1. Future work is required to confirm whether these proteins are bona fide Nops secreted via a rhizobial T3SS. Remarkably, NopB, a pilusassociated Nop required for translocation of other rhizobial Nops [68,69], was found to be a translocated effector according to the Pseudomonas-Arabidopsis translocation system. Surprisingly, the predicted apparatus proteins NoIU, NoIV, y4yQ, y4yJ and NoIT (Figure 1C) were found to be translocated in a similar way and designated as Nops [43]. Nop translocation results obtained from heterologous translocation systems should therefore be interpreted with caution, and future experiments are required to clarify these discrepancies.

SYMBIOTIC PHENOTYPES OF nop GENE MUTANTS

Construction of a T3SS-knockout mutant (genes encoding T3SS apparatus proteins, *nopA*, *nopB* or the regulatory gene *ttsI*) followed by nodulation tests on leguminous host and non-host plants was frequently conducted to elucidate the symbiotic

Table 1 Identification of Nops by various methods: overview of bona fide Nops

Protein names (or identification numbers) of synonymous or related proteins are indicated in parentheses. Strain names: *Sinorhizobium (Rhizobium, Ensifer) fredii* NGR234, USDA207, USDA257, USDA191 and HH103; *Bradyrhizobium japonicum (Bradyrhizobium diazoefficiens)* USDA6, USDA110, USDA122, USDA123 and USDA124; *Bradyrhizobium elkanii* USDA61 and SEMIA587; *Mesorhizobium loti* MAFF303099. The following protein detection methods were used. Im, immunodetection: protein (or the N-terminal part of the protein with a C-terminal tag) immunodetected in the supernatant of wild-type bacteria, but not in the supernatant of a mutant strain deficient in Nop secretion. Alternatively, proteins from wild-type bacteria grown with and without flavonoids were compared. Ma, mass spectrometry: protein identified by comparative 2D gel electrophoresis followed by mass spectrometry analysis using culture supernatants from wild-type bacteria and from a mutant strain deficient in Nop secretion. Alternatively, purification of flavonoid-induced pili followed by mass spectrometry was performed (USDA257, USDA191) [67]. Cy, adenylate cyclase translocation propertier systems with Nop–Cya fusion proteins: translocation by rhizobial T3SS into legume cells confirmed for NopP (USDA257), NopE1 and NopE2 (USDA110); NopL (USDA257) and NopP (USDA257) were translocated into *N. benthamiana* leaf cells via the T3SS of *P. syringae* pv. tomato DC3000 [28,29]. Tr, translocation analysis performed using *P. syringae* pv. tomato DC3000 [28,29]. Tr, translocation analysis performed using *P. syringae* pv. tomato DC3000 [28,29]. Tr, translocation to the tarshore to the cardidate of the rhizobial strains listed was tested. Mi, microsequencing: N-terminal amino acid sequencing of differently expressed proteins of culture supernatants (wild-type compared with NGRΩ*rhcN* mutant) [8]. Ra, radioactively labelled protein detected in culture supernatant. Ph, protein identified by phage display [77].

Protein names	Strains	Proposed function	Methods	Related proteins	References
NopA (SR5, Nop7)	NGR234 USDA257 USDA191 HH103 USDA61 SEMIA587	Pilus subunit	lm, Ma, Ra	Rhizobial homologous	[7,9,12,13,16,24,26,49,66,67]
NopB (NolB, SR4; blr1812; mlr8763)	NAFF303099 NGR234 USDA207 USDA257 USDA191 USDA110 USDA61 MAFF303099	Pilus component and/or effector	lm, Ma, Ra, Tr	Rhizobial homologous; C-terminal domain related to the flagellar protein FIgK; weak similarities to DNA polymerase III subunits γ and τ (PRK07994)	[7,12,17,43,44,66–70]
NopC (NolJ; host-inducible protein B)	NGR234 HH103 USDA6 USDA207 USDA257 USDA191	Pilus component and/or effector	lm, Ma, Tr	Rhizobial homologous	[26,43,67,71]
NopD (SFHH103_04358;	HH103	Effector	Ma, Im,	Repeat-rich effector proteins with a C-terminal C48	[44,71,72]
NopE (NopE1, blr1806; NopE2, blr1649)	USDA6 USDA110 USDA122 USDA123 USDA124	Effector	Ma, Cy, Tr	Proteins with DUF1521 domains (including a putative T3 effector in <i>Vibrio coralliilyticus</i>) [73]	[11,14,29,43,70]
NopF (NopAG, bl1862 or bl18201)	USDA124 USDA6 USDA110 USDA122 USDA123 USDA124	Effector	Ma, Tr	Proteins with putative acetyltransferase domain (PRK10562); HopBG T3 effector family (e.g. HopBG1, ADQ74901 of <i>P. syringae</i> pv. <i>maculicola</i>)	[11,14,43,70]
NopJ (y4IO)	NGR234	Effector	Tr	YopJ family T3 effectors with serine/threonine	[43,57]
NopL (y4xL; SR2, Nop38)	NGR234 USDA207 USDA257 USDA191 USDA61 HH103 SEMIA587	Effector	lm, Ma, Mi, Ra, Cy, Tr	Rhizobial homologous; weakly similar to DNA polymerase III subunits γ and τ domain (PRK07764)	[7,8,12,13,24,28,43,66,67,71,74]
NopM (y4fR, blr1676)	NGR234 HH103 USDA110 USDA122 USDA124	Effector	lm, Ma, Tr	IpaH (SIrP) family T3 effectors with NEL (novel E3 ligase) domain and N-terminal leucine-rich repeat (LRR 8) domain (pfam13855)	[14,43,57,71,75]
NopP (y4yP, SR3, Nop34, blr1752; host-inducible protein A)	NGR234 USDA207 USDA257 HH103 USDA110 USDA61	Effector	lm, Ma, Ra, Ph, Cy, Tr	Rhizobial homologous (including the NopAH candidate); similar to a protein (ACQ68626) of <i>Hamiltonella</i> <i>defensa</i> , a bacterial endosymbiont of aphids [76]	[7,11,12,28,43,67,70,71,77– 79]
NopT (y4zC, NopT1, BIr2140)	NGR234 USDA110	Effector	Im, Ma	YopT T3 effector family; proteins with cysteine protease domain (TIGR01586; peptidase C58 domain, pfam03543)	[56,57,70]

Table 1 Continued

Protein names	Strains	Proposed function	Methods	Related proteins	References
NopX (SR1, NoIX, SR1; mll6337)	NGR234 USDA207 USDA257 USDA191 USDA61 HH103	Translocon protein or effector	lm, Ma, Mi, Ra, Tr	Proteins with NoIX domain (pfam05819); related to translocon proteins such as HrpF of <i>X. campestris</i> [32]	[7,8,12,16,17,24,30,31,43,44,62, 63,67,71,74]
NopAA (GunA2, blr1656)	USDA207 USDA257 USDA6 USDA110 USDA122 USDA123 USDA124	Effector	Ma, Tr	Glycoside hydrolase family 12 proteins (pfam01670)	[11,14,43,70,80]
NopAC (Pgl, blr1993)	USDA6 USDA110 USDA122 USDA124	Effector	Ma, Tr	Polygalacturonases (glycoside hydrolase family 28, pfam00295)	[43,70,80]
NopAR (bl1840, ORF17)	USDA110	Effector	Ma, Tr	Rhizobial homologous	[14,43]
NopBX (mlr6361) and NopBV (mlr6331)	MAFF303099	Effector	lm, Tr	Proteins with shikimate kinase domain (pfam01202); proteins with conserved multidomain region PRK09196 such as XopAD of <i>X. campestris</i> and Skwp2 of <i>R. solanacearum</i> (Hpx30 effector family with SKWP repeat) [81]	[16,17,44,72]
NopBW (mlr6358)	MAFF303099	Effector	lm, Tr	C-terminal domain of NopBW is related to T3 effectors such as XopP of <i>X. oryzae</i> [82]; N-terminal domain of NopBW is similar to NopBV (mlr6361)	[16,44]

role of the whole T3 effector arsenal of a given strain. In most cases, specific legume species were identified that nodulated either better or worse with such T3SS knockouts. It was also observed that various mutant strains showed altered competiveness in co-inoculation experiments with the parent strain. Symbiotic phenotypes of T3SS-knockout mutants have been described in detail for various strains, namely S. fredii (NGR234 [8,26,45,69,74], HH103 [9,49] and USDA257 [24,27,61,68]), B. japonicum (USDA110 [10] and USDA122 [14]), B. elkanii (USDA61 [12,84] and SEMIA58 [13]), M. loti (MAFF303099 [15,17,72]) and Cupriavidus taiwanensis (LMG19424 [18]). Analysis of S. fredii strains mutated in the translocon gene nopX resulted in symbiotic phenotypes that were similar to those of the T3SS-knockout mutants, providing clues to the role of NopX in effector translocation [30,74].

When single T3 effector genes were mutated in rhizobial strains, positive, negative or no effects on symbiosis were observed (Figures 2C-2F). Altered symbiotic phenotypes that can be attributed to the lack of a specific T3 effector have been reported for *nopL* [58,74], *nopM* [57,75] and *nopT* [56,57] of S. fredii NGR234, as well as for nopBG (mlr6316) and nopBX (mlr6361) of *M. loti* MAFF303099 [15–17,72]. Symbiotic phenotypes were also observed for *nopP* mutants of various S. fredii strains [51,77–79] as well as for Rhizobium etli CNPAP512 [53]. In other cases, however, no or only minor effects on symbiosis were observed when strains lacking a single effector gene were tested in nodulation experiments. Examples for such effector genes are nopJ of NGR234 [57], nopBV (mlr6331) and nopBW (mlr6358) of M. loti MAFF303099 [17,72], as well as nopAA (gunA2), nopAC (pgl), nopAD (pme), nopEl and nopE2 of B. japonicum USDA110 [29,80]. This prompted researchers to mutate further already constructed T3 effector gene mutants. Accordingly, cumulative or synergistic effects were observed in certain double or triple mutants [29,56,57,72,78]. The double mutant *nopE1/nopE2* of USDA110, for example, showed increased nodulation with *Vigna radiata* cv. KPS2 and reduced nodule number with soya bean (cv. Amphor) and *Macroptilium atropurpureum* [29]. Likewise, evidence for symbiotic or asymbiotic effects of *nopBG*, *nopBV* and *nopBW* in MAFF303099 was obtained by comparing the nodulation competitiveness of various mutants on *Lotus japonicus* and *Lotus tenuis*. Test plants were co-inoculated with two strains in equal amounts and nodule occupancy (percentage of nodules induced by each strain) was determined at the time of harvest [72].

FUNCTION OF T3 EFFECTORS

Rhizobia appear to express most Nops at early symbiotic stages (infection thread formation), and also in fully developed nodules [27,31,46,58,62,85]. As in plant-pathogen interactions, symbiotic effects of rhizobial Nops are considered to be due to T3 effectors translocated into host cells. However, before translocation, certain Nops are likely to be first secreted into the symbiotic interface and thus may come into direct contact with cell wall materials in infection threads and the surface of the host plasma membrane. In fact, some Nops (or Nop candidates) are putative cell-walldegrading proteins that could facilitate effector translocation into host cells [34]. In B. japonicum USDA110, for example, nopAA (gunA2) encodes a glycoside hydrolase family 12 enzyme (protein with putative endoglucanase, xyloglucan hydrolase, β -1,3-1,4-glucanase or xyloglucan endotransglycosylase activity). When expressed in E. coli, recombinant NopAA protein efficiently cleaved carboxymethylcellulose [80]. The nopAC (pgl) and nopAD (pme) genes of USDA110 encode proteins with

predicted polygalacturonase (glycoside hydrolase family 28) and pectin esterase activity respectively. However, the triple mutant *nopAA/nopAC/nopAD* showed no obvious nodulation phenotype on test plants [80]. This might be due to the fact that plant-cellwall-cleaving enzymes related to symbiosis may be secreted by other bacterial protein-secretion systems [86]. Moreover, proteins involved in infection-related cell wall modifications are also produced by host plants. In *L. japonicus*, for example, a pectate lyase is essential for infection by *M. loti* [87].

Compared with T3 effectors of pathogenic bacteria, little is known about the function of rhizobial T3 effectors delivered into plant cells. Besides identification (Table 1), mutant characterization (see above) and translocation into plant cells [28,29,43,44], only five rhizobial T3 effector proteins have been biochemically characterized in detail, namely NopE1/NopE2 (*B. japonicum* USDA110), NopL (*S. fredii* NGR234), NopM (*S. fredii* NGR234), NopP (*S. fredii* NGR234) and NopT (*S. fredii* NGR234; *B. japonicum* USDA110).

NopE

The NopE proteins are typical bradyrhizobial effectors. The proteins NopE1 and NopE2 of B. japonicum USDA110 have been characterized in two publications [29,88]. Both proteins possess two C-terminal DUF1521 domains. DUF1521 contains an EF-hand-like motif required for calcium binding. Remarkably, non-enzymatic autoproteolysis of DUF1521 was observed in the presence of Ca²⁺ ions, whereas other divalent cations showed no effects. Except for EDTA, none of the protease inhibitors tested blocked proteolysis. Each DUF1521 domain contains the cleavage site GD-PHVDA. The substitution of the aspartate and proline residues next to the cleavage site by alanine resulted in a non-cleavable DUF1521 domain. Truncated DUF1521 domain constructs were not cleaved, indicating that a domain length of ~ 140 amino acids in length is required for self-cleavage. Nodulation tests with a nopE1/nopE2 double mutant expressing a non-cleavable NopE1 form and the host plant Vigna radiata (KPS2) revealed that autocleavage of the protein is required for effector activity in this plant [29,88].

NopL

Construction of transgenic plants expressing a given nop gene is an approach to test effector function within plant cells. When tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) and L. japonicus plants expressing the nopL gene of S. fredii NGR234 were tested in this way, expression of pathogen-related proteins (class I chitinase and class I glucanase) was reduced compared with control plants. These findings indicate that NopL can suppress the plant's innate immunity [89] and are thus consistent with the observation that a nopL-knockout mutant of NGR234 (strain NGRΩnopL) induces fewer nodules on the legume Flemingia congesta [74]. Mutant analysis also revealed a positive effect of NopL in nodules of certain bean cultivars (e.g. P. vulgaris cv. Tendergreen). Nodules induced by the nopL mutant turn necrotic, and bacteroids of the *nopL* mutant show typical senescence symptoms, indicating that NopL suppresses premature nodule senescence in these bean plants (Figures 2E and 2F) [58]. Work with recombinant NopL revealed that NopL can be phosphorylated in vitro by crude plant protein extracts. The addition of the MAPKK (mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase) inhibitor PD98059 to the reaction mixture resulted in reduced phosphorylation of NopL, providing the first clues that NopL phosphorylation is associated with MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase) signalling in eukaryotic cells

or yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) is indeed phosphorylated *in vivo* and four phosphoserine residues in NopL were identified by mass spectrometry [58]. The phosphorylation sites in NopL possess a conserved serine-proline motif, which is typical for phosphorylation sites in MAPK substrates. Remarkably, NopL expressed in eukaryotic cells impaired the induction of MAP-mediated responses such as mating pheromone (α -factor) signalling in yeast and MAPK (SIPK^{DD})-mediated cell death in tobacco. Hence it was concluded that NopL suppresses plant defence reactions by interfering with MAPK signalling [58]. Recent work confirmed that NopL is indeed a MAPK substrate (Ge, Y.-Y., Xiang, Q.-W., Wagner, C., Xie, Z.-P. and Staehelin, C., unpublished data).

[90]. Further analysis showed that NopL expressed in tobacco

NopM

The NopM effectors belong to the NEL (novel E3 ubiquitin ligase) domain effector family and possess an N-terminal LRR (leucinerich repeat) and a C-terminal E3 ubiquitin ligase domain. The first NEL domain effector described is the IpaH9.8 protein of the human pathogen Shigella flexneri [91]. E3 ubiquitin ligases in eukaryotic cells facilitate the covalent conjugation of ubiquitin from an ubiquitin-loaded E2 to one or more lysine residues in a given protein substrate. Bacterial E3 ubiquitin ligases delivered into host cells mimic the activities of host E3 ubiquitin ligases and ubiquitinate specific target proteins. NopM of S. fredii NGR234 was characterized in detail [75]. Using recombinant protein and proteins required for an in vitro ubiquitination reaction, E3 ubiquitin ligase activity of NopM was demonstrated. The reaction resulted in formation of polyubiquitination chains in the range 24–200 kDa. When the catalytic residue Cys³³⁸ in the NEL domain of NopM was replaced by alanine, a dysfunctional protein lacking activity was obtained. In contrast with the NopMexpressing parent strain NGR234, a mutant producing NopM with the C338A substitution induced fewer nodules on the host plant Lablab purpureus, indicating that ubiquitination of an unknown target protein is required for optimal nodulation. NopM directly expressed in the non-host Nicotiana benthamiana dampened generation of ROS (reactive oxygen species), which are formed in response to the elicitor peptide flagellin (a component of the bacterial flagellum) [75]. These findings point to the possibility that NopM promotes nodule initiation of host plants [57,75] by reducing the levels of harmful ROS during the rhizobial infection process.

NopP

Protein kinase assays performed with the microsomal fraction of various host legumes demonstrated that NopP of S. fredii NGR234 can be phosphorylated in vitro by plant protein kinases. A truncated NopP form consisting of only 62 N-terminal amino acid residues was also phosphorylated, indicating that the secretion signal sequence of NopP contains phosphorylation sites. The phosphorylation reaction was inhibited by the protein kinase inhibitors genistein (a tyrosine kinase inhibitor) and K252a (a serine/threonine kinase inhibitor) [78]. Whether NopP is also phosphorylated in planta and whether NopP phosphorylation is required for effector activity remains unknown. During the infection process, NopP probably interferes with activation of plant defence reactions as nodulation tests with NGR234 mutants and certain host plants revealed synergistic effects for NopP and NopL [78]. However, when a nopP mutant of S. fredii HH103 was inoculated on soya bean (cv.

Williams), roots showed slightly enhanced nodule formation and lower transcript levels of the defence gene *PR1* (assumed to be a marker for systemic acquired resistance) than with the parent strain, indicating that NopP has a negative effect on symbiosis with this host plant [79].

NopT

The NopT effectors belong to the YopT effector proteinase family that includes AvrPphB of the pathogen P. syringae (pv. phaseolicola). These proteins are cysteine proteinases (family C58 in the CA clan of the MEROPS peptidase database) that contain three well-conserved catalytic amino acid residues (catalytic triad). Recombinant NopT proteins of S. fredii NGR234 or B. japonicum USDA110 (NopT1 and NopT2) expressed in E. coli possess autoproteolytic activity and recognize a DKM motif in the N-terminal region of the protein [56,57,92]. For the two NopT proteins of USDA110, proteolytic activity was also demonstrated with resorufin-labelled casein as substrate [92]. Substitution of amino acids of the catalytic triad in NopT proteins dramatically reduced the proteolytic activity [56,57,92]. Accordingly, symbiotic activity of NopT (e.g. negative effects on Crotalaria juncea) was abolished in a mutant of NGR234 producing NopT with a D220A substitution [57]. The autoproteolytically processed NopT forms lack the Nterminal secretion signal sequence and possess an N-terminus with glycine and cysteine residues, which represent potential myristoylation and palmitoylation sites respectively [56,92,93]. For NopT of NGR234, effector lipidation was demonstrated for in vitro-transcribed protein and for the protein expressed in yeast [93]. Effector lipidation in plant cells appears to influence the subcellular localization of NopT. When NopT of NGR234 with a C-terminal fluorescence tag was expressed in Chinese cabbage (Brassica campestris subsp. napus), the protein was found to be localized to the plasma membrane. In contrast, a NopT protein form lacking the myristoylation and palmitoylation sites (G50A/C51A/C52A substitutions) expressed in the same plant accumulated in the cytosol [93]. NopT of NGR234 [56] and NopT1 of USDA110 [92] expressed in tobacco both induced an apoptosis-like cell death (hypersensitive reaction) that can be considered as rapid plant defence response. Analysis of NopT forms with substituted amino acids indicated that induction of cell death depends on autocleavage and subsequent palmitoylation of NopT, whereas an intact myristoylation motif is apparently not essential [56,92].

EFFECTOR-TRIGGERED PLANT RESPONSES: NOPS ARE DOUBLE-EDGED SWORDS

Rhizobial Nops possess traits that resemble those from pathogenic bacteria. Once delivered to host cells, most rhizobial Nops probably manipulate cellular processes to suppress defence responses against invading bacteria. As mentioned above, NopL of *S. fredii* NGR234 is the prototype of such a toxin-like T3 effector [58,89]. Increasing evidence is provided that suppression of plant defence responses has a positive effect on establishment and maintenance of symbiosis [94]. Accordingly, compared with the parent strain *S. fredii* HH103, reduced nodule formation of soya bean (cv. Williams) and a higher induction of the defence gene *PR1* were observed in response to inoculation with a T3SS-deficient mutant lacking secretion of Nops [9,79].

Other Nops perhaps promote symbiosis-related processes directly by interfering with nodulation signalling in host cells, which is initiated by perception of rhizobial Nod factors. Support for such a mechanism was obtained from the interaction between B. elkanii strain USDA61 and soya bean genotypes (Clark- r_{i_1} ; En1282) that are deficient in a Nod factor receptor gene ($GmNFR1\alpha$) [95]. In this system, occasional rhizobial infections do not depend on activation of nodulation signalling by Nod factors [84]. However, nodule formation with a T3SSknockout mutant of USDA61 is nearly abolished, indicating that Nod-factor-independent nodule formation only occurs as long the bacteria deliver T3 effectors into the host plant [12,84]. Comparative microarray analysis showed that the parent strain USDA61, but not the T3SS-knockout mutant, stimulated nodulation signalling as analysed by transcript levels of marker genes such as NIN (nodule inception protein) [84]. These findings suggest that at least one T3 effector of USDA61 manipulates the host cell to activate nodulation signalling in the absence of Nod factors. One possibility would be that a T3 effector causes cellular changes that increase levels of the plant hormone cytokinin. In fact, cytokinin applied to L. japonicus roots can trigger expression of NIN in the absence of Nod factors [96]. Such a mechanism would be reminiscent of the T3 effector HopQ1 of P. syringae pv. tomato, which is able to induce cytokinin signalling in Arabidopsis thaliana [97].

Nops are double-edged swords that may promote establishment of symbiosis with one legume (symbiotic factors) and impair symbiotic processes with another legume species (asymbiotic factors). Direct or indirect recognition of Nops by plant cells can result in plant defence reactions that negatively affect rhizobial infection and nodule formation. Such plant defence responses are likely to be similar to the phenomenon of ETI (effector-triggered immunity) in plant–pathogen interactions [98]. The cell-deathinducing effects of NopT in tobacco plants [56,92], for example, appear to be identical with those caused by a NopT homologue, the avirulence protein AvrPphB of *P. syringae* pv. *phaseolicola* [99]. Likewise, negative effects of NopT of NGR234 in nodules of the host plant *C. juncea* [56,57] are likely to be due to an ETI-like defence response culminating in necrotic nodule cells (Figures 2C and 2D).

Negative effects of Nops can result in complete blockage of nodulation in certain strain-plant combinations. In soya bean, certain dominant R_i genes ($R_i 2/R_f g1$ and $R_i 4$) can play a crucial role in Nop-related nodulation blockage. The allelic genes Rj2 and *Rfg1* have been identified by positional cloning [100], taking advantage of the sequenced soya bean genome and various previous studies [101]. Soya bean cultivars with the *Ri2* genotype (e.g. Hardee) show nodulation blockage with *B. japonicum* strains such as USDA122 [102], whereas those with the Rfg1 genotype [103], such as McCall, are incompatible with certain S. fredii strains, namely USDA257 (Figure 2A). The gene products of Rj2 and Rfg1 are R (resistance) proteins belonging to the TIR (Toll/interleukin-1 receptor)-NBS (nucleotide-binding site)-LRR class. Three allele types, namely Rj2 (rfg1), rj2 (rfg1) and rj2 (Rfg1) with corresponding amino acid polymorphisms have been identified [100]. Nodulation tests with T3SS-knockout mutants of USDA257 [100] and USDA122 [14] confirmed the involvement of Nops as asymbiotic factors. The loss of the T3SS resulted in compatible interactions, i.e. nitrogen-fixing nodules were formed. These data indicate that incompatible rhizobial strains fail to infect soya beans depending on distinct amino acids in the Rj2/Rfg1 protein [100]. It likely that an as yet unknown T3 effector is directly or indirectly perceived by a specific Rj2/Rfg1 protein variant. Such a recognition process would then culminate in an Rprotein-mediated defence reaction (ETI), which blocks bacterial infection and nodule formation.

Another dominant soya bean gene involved in genotypic nodulation is Rj4 [101]. Soya beans with the Rj4 genotype

(e.g. cv. Hill) show T3SS-dependent nodulation blockage with B. elkanii USDA61 [12]. A T3SS-knockout mutant of USDA61 could overcome the nodulation blockage in cv. Hill, suggesting the presence of an asymbiotic T3 effector in the wild-type strain. Identification of a candidate gene encoding such a T3 effector was recently announced for strain B. japonicum Is-34, which is also incompatible with Rj4 soya beans [104]. A detailed characterization of this NopD/NopBG-related gene has not yet been published. Positional cloning efforts resulted recently in the identification of the *Rj4* gene. Surprisingly, *Rj4* does not encode an R protein but a thaumatin-like protein [105,106]. Thaumatin-like proteins can be considered as PR (pathogen-related) proteins (PR protein family 5). Purified proteins often possess antimicrobial activity, particularly when tested on fungi. Remarkably, some of them bind to other proteins (enzyme inhibitors) or may possess enzymatic activity (β -1,3 glucanase) [107]. Identification of the Rj4 gene now forms the basis to elucidate the molecular mechanisms of the Rj4 protein in nodulation blockage.

CONCLUDING REMARKS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Results from various laboratories working on different rhizobial strains highlight the symbiotic role of Nops in various strain-host plant interactions. Beyond Nops, proteins secreted by other bacterial protein secretions may also represent symbiotic determinants in certain interactions and should be explored in future. For example, rhizobial proteins secreted by the bacterial type VI protein secretion system of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* strain RBL5523 may function as asymbiotic factors in the interaction with *Pisum sativum* [108]. Likewise, mutant analysis indicated that type IV effectors secreted by the type IV protein secretion system of *M. loti* strain R7A promote nodule formation on the host *Lotus corniculatus* but negatively affect establishment of symbiosis with *Leucaena leucocephala* [15].

Conclusions on Nops and their dilemma as to function either as symbiotic or asymbiotic factors were mainly drawn from inoculation tests with mutant strains. On the protein level, however, characterization of Nops remains in its infancy. Further research on Nops and Nop candidates is needed to investigate their structural and biochemical properties. Future efforts are required to elucidate the molecular function of rhizobial effectors within host cells. Identification of plant proteins that are either targets of symbiosis-promoting effectors or components of the defence system against asymbiotic effectors will be of particular interest. Special attention should be paid to the possibility that effector activities in plant cells may depend on subcellular localization and post-translational events such as cleavage (NopT and NopE), phosphorylation (NopL and NopP) and lipidation (NopT). Finally, further characterization of effectors in plant cells could inspire medical researchers to test the possible pharmaceutical potential of Nops in human cells.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Zhi-Ping Xie for critical comments on the paper and Qi Sun for technical assistance.

FUNDING

Research of C.S. is funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [grant numbers 31270128 and 31070219], by the Department of Science and Technology of Guangdong Province, China [grant number 2009B030802007], by the Science Foundation of the State Key Laboratory of Biocontrol [grant number SKLBC201123] and by the

Guangdong Key Laboratory of Plant Resources [grant number plant01k19]. The U.S. Department of Agriculture – Agricultural Research Service provided funding for research by H.B.K.

REFERENCES

- Oldroyd, G.E.D. (2013) Speak, friend, and enter: signalling systems that promote beneficial symbiotic associations in plants. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 11, 252–263 CrossRef PubMed
- 2 Perret, X., Staehelin, C. and Broughton, W.J. (2000) Molecular basis of symbiotic promiscuity. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. 64, 180–201 CrossRef
- 3 Feng, F. and Zhou, J.M. (2012) Plant–bacterial pathogen interactions mediated by type III effectors. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 15, 469–476 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 4 Macho, A.P. and Zipfel, C. (2015) Targeting of plant pattern recognition receptor-triggered immunity by bacterial type-III secretion system effectors. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 23, 14–22 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 5 O'Brien, H.E., Thakur, S. and Guttman, D.S. (2011) Evolution of plant pathogenesis in *Pseudomonas syringae*: a genomics perspective. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 49, 269–289 CrossRef
- 6 Ryan, R.P., Vorholter, F.J., Potnis, N., Jones, J.B., Van Sluys, M.A., Bogdanove, A.J. and Dow, J.M. (2011) Pathogenomics of *Xanthomonas*: understanding bacterium–plant interactions. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 9, 344–355 CrossRef PubMed
- 7 Krishnan, H.B., Kuo, C.-I. and Pueppke, S.G. (1995) Elaboration of flavonoid-induced proteins by the nitrogen-fixing soybean symbiont *Rhizobium fredii* is regulated by both *nodD1* and *nodD2*, and is dependent on the cultivar-specificity locus, *noIXWBTUV*. Microbiology **141**, 2245–2251 CrossRef
- 8 Viprey, V., Del Greco, A., Golinowski, W., Broughton, W.J. and Perret, X. (1998) Symbiotic implications of type III protein secretion machinery in *Rhizobium*. Mol. Microbiol. **28**, 1381–1389 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 9 de Lyra, M. D. C. P., Lopez-Baena, F.J., Madinabeitia, N., Vinardell, J.M., Espuny, M.R., Cubo, M.T., Bellogin, R.A., Ruiz-Sainz, J.E. and Ollero, F.J. (2006) Inactivation of the *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103 *rhcJ* gene abolishes nodulation outer proteins (Nops) secretion and decreases the symbiotic capacity with soybean. Int. Microbiol. 9, 125–133 <u>PubMed</u>
- Krause, A., Doerfel, A. and Göttfert, M. (2002) Mutational and transcriptional analysis of the type III secretion system of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 15, 1228–1235 CrossRef PubMed
- 11 Süss, C., Hempel, J., Zehner, S., Krause, A., Patschkowski, T. and Göttfert, M. (2006) Identification of genistein-inducible and type III-secreted proteins of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. J. Biotechnol. **126**, 69–77 CrossRef PubMed
- 12 Okazaki, S., Zehner, S., Hempel, J., Lang, K. and Göttfert, M. (2009) Genetic organization and functional analysis of the type III secretion system of *Bradyrhizobium elkanii*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **295**, 88–95 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 13 de Campos, S.B., Deakin, W.J., Broughton, W.J. and Passaglia, L.M. (2011) Roles of flavonoids and the transcriptional regulator Ttsl in the activation of the type III secretion system of *Bradyrhizobium elkanii* SEMIA587. Microbiology **157**, 627–635 CrossRef PubMed
- 14 Tsukui, T., Eda, S., Kaneko, T., Sato, S., Okazaki, S., Kakizaki-Chiba, K., Itakura, M., Mitsui, H., Yamashita, A., Terasawa, K. and Minamisawa, K. (2013) The type III secretion system of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* USDA122 mediates symbiotic incompatibility with *Rj2* soybean plants. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **79**, 1048–1051 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 15 Hubber, A., Vergunst, A.C., Sullivan, J.T., Hooykaas, P.J.J. and Ronson, C.W. (2004) Symbiotic phenotypes and translocated effector proteins of the *Mesorhizobium loti* strain R7A VirB/D4 type IV secretion system. Mol. Microbiol. **54**, 561–574 CrossRef PubMed
- 16 Sánchez, C., Iannino, F., Deakin, W.J., Ugalde, R.A. and Lepek, V.C. (2009) Characterization of the *Mesorhizobium loti* MAFF303099 type-three protein secretion system. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. **22**, 519–528 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 17 Okazaki, S., Okabe, S., Higashi, M., Shimoda, Y., Sato, S., Tabata, S., Hashiguchi, M., Akashi, R., Göttfert, M. and Saeki, K. (2010) Identification and functional analysis of type III effector proteins in *Mesorhizobium loti*. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 23, 223–234 CrossRef PubMed
- 18 Saad, M.M., Crevecoeur, M., Masson-Boivin, C. and Perret, X. (2012) The type 3 protein secretion system of *Cupriavidus taiwanensis* strain LMG19424 compromises symbiosis with *Leucaena leucocephala*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **78**, 7476–7479 CrossRef PubMed
- 19 Angus, A.A., Agapakis, C.M., Fong, S., Yerrapragada, S., Estrada-de los Santos, P., Yang, P., Song, N., Kano, S., Caballero-Mellado, J., de Faria, S.M. et al. (2014) Plant-associated symbiotic *Burkholderia* species lack hallmark strategies required in mammalian pathogenesis. PLoS One **9**, e83779 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 20 Gazi, A.D., Sarris, P.F., Fadouloglou, V.E., Charova, S.N., Mathioudakis, N., Panopoulos, N.J. and Kokkinidis, M. (2012) Phylogenetic analysis of a gene cluster encoding an

additional, rhizobial-like type III secretion system that is narrowly distributed among *Pseudomonas syringae* strains. BMC Microbiol. **12**, 1471–2180 <u>CrossRef</u>

- 21 Tampakaki, A.P. (2014) Commonalities and differences of T3SSs in rhizobia and plant pathogenic bacteria. Front. Plant Sci. 5, 114 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 22 Young, G.M., Schmiel, D.H. and Miller, V.L. (1999) A new pathway for the secretion of virulence factors by bacteria: the flagellar export apparatus functions as a protein-secretion system. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 96, 6456–6461 CrossRef PubMed
- 23 Diepold, A. and Wagner, S. (2014) Assembly of the bacterial type III secretion machinery. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 38, 802–822 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 24 Krishnan, H.B., Lorio, J., Kim, W.S., Jiang, G.Q., Kim, K.Y., DeBoer, M. and Pueppke, S.G. (2003) Extracellular proteins involved in soybean cultivar-specific nodulation are associated with pilus-like surface appendages and exported by a type III protein secretion system in *Sinorhizobium fredii* USDA257. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 16, 617–625 CrossRef PubMed
- 25 Mercante, V., Duarte, C.M., Sánchez, C.M., Zalguizuri, A., Caetano-Anollés, G. and Lepek, V.C. (2015) The absence of protein Y4yS affects negatively the abundance of T3SS *Mesorhizobium loti* secretin, RhcC2, in bacterial membranes. Front. Plant Sci. 6, 12 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 26 Deakin, W.J., Marie, C., Saad, M.M., Krishnan, H.B. and Broughton, W.J. (2005) NopA is associated with cell surface appendages produced by the type III secretion system of *Rhizobium* sp. strain NGR234. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. **18**, 499–507 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 27 Kim, W.S. and Krishnan, H.B. (2014) A *nopA* deletion mutant of *Sinorhizobium fredii* USDA257, a soybean symbiont, is impaired in nodulation. Curr. Microbiol. **68**, 239–246 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 28 Schechter, L.M., Guenther, J., Olcay, E.A., Jang, S.C. and Krishnan, H.B. (2010) Translocation of NopP by *Sinorhizobium fredii* USDA257 into *Vigna unguiculata* root nodules. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **76**, 3758–3761 CrossRef PubMed
- 29 Wenzel, M., Friedrich, L., Göttfert, M. and Zehner, S. (2010) The type III-secreted protein NopE1 affects symbiosis and exhibits a calcium-dependent autocleavage activity. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 23, 124–129 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 30 Bellato, C., Krishnan, H.B., Cubo, T., Temprano, F. and Pueppke, S.G. (1997) The soybean cultivar specificity gene *nolX* is present, expressed in a *nodD*-dependent manner, and of symbiotic significance in cultivar-nonspecific strains of *Rhizobium* (*Sinorhizobium*) *fredii*. Microbiology **143**, 1381–1388 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 31 Krishnan, H.B. (2002) NoIX of *Sinorhizobium fredii* USDA257, a type III-secreted protein involved in host range determination, is localized in the infection threads of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp) and soybean (*Glycine max* [L.] Merr.) nodules. J. Bacteriol. **184**, 831–839 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 32 Büttner, D., Nennstiel, D., Klusener, B. and Bonas, U. (2002) Functional analysis of HrpF, a putative type III translocon protein from *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. vesicatoria. J. Bacteriol. **184**, 2389–2398 CrossRef PubMed
- 33 Meyer, D., Cunnac, S., Gueneron, M., Declercq, C., Van Gijsegem, F., Lauber, E., Boucher, C. and Arlat, M. (2006) PopF1 and PopF2, two proteins secreted by the type III protein secretion system of *Ralstonia solanacearum*, are translocators belonging to the HrpF/NopX family. J. Bacteriol. **188**, 4903–4917 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 34 Ji, H. and Dong, H. (2015) Key steps in type III secretion system (T3SS) towards translocon assembly with potential sensor at plant plasma membrane. Mol. Plant Pathol. 16, 762–773 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 35 Löwer, M. and Schneider, G. (2009) Prediction of type III secretion signals in genomes of Gram-negative bacteria. PLoS One 4, e5917 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 36 Arnold, R., Brandmaier, S., Kleine, F., Tischler, P., Heinz, E., Behrens, S., Niinikoski, A., Mewes, H.W., Horn, M. and Rattei, T. (2009) Sequence-based prediction of type III secreted proteins. PLoS Pathog. 5, e36038 CrossRef
- 37 Yang, Y., Zhao, J.Y., Morgan, R.L., Ma, W.B. and Jiang, T. (2010) Computational prediction of type III secreted proteins from Gram-negative bacteria. BMC Bioinformatics 11 (Suppl. 1), S47 CrossRef PubMed
- 38 Wang, Y.J., Zhang, Q., Sun, M.A. and Guo, D.J. (2011) High-accuracy prediction of bacterial type III secreted effectors based on position-specific amino acid composition profiles. Bioinformatics 27, 777–784 CrossRef PubMed
- 39 Yang, X., Guo, Y., Luo, J., Pu, X. and Li, M. (2013) Effective identification of Gram-negative bacterial type III secreted effectors using position-specific residue conservation profiles. PLoS One 8, e84439 CrossRef PubMed
- 40 Sorg, J.A., Miller, N.C. and Schneewind, O. (2005) Substrate recognition of type III secretion machines: testing the RNA signal hypothesis. Cell. Microbiol. 7, 1217–1225 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 41 Yahara, K., Jiang, Y. and Yanagawa, T. (2010) Computational identification of discriminating features of pathogenic and symbiotic type III secreted effector proteins. IPSJ Trans. Bioinformatics 3, 95–107 CrossRef
- 42 Nakano, Y., Iwadate, M., Umeyama, H. and Taguchi, Y. (2014) Bacterial type III secretion system effector proteins are distinct between plant symbiotic, plant pathogenic and animal pathogenic bacteria. IPSJ Trans. Bioinformatics 7, 2–15 <u>CrossRef</u>

- 43 Kimbrel, J.A., Thomas, W.J., Jiang, Y., Creason, A.L., Thireault, C.A., Sachs, J.L. and Chang, J.H. (2013) Mutualistic co-evolution of type III effector genes in *Sinorhizobium fredii* and *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. PloS Pathog. 9, e1003204 CrossRef PubMed
- 44 Thomas, W.J. (2012) Identification and Characterization of Type III Effector Proteins in Plant-Associated Bacteria. Ph.D. Thesis, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, U.S.A.
- 45 Marie, C., Deakin, W.J., Ojanen-Reuhs, T., Diallo, E., Reuhs, B., Broughton, W.J. and Perret, X. (2004) Ttsl, a key regulator of *Rhizobium* species NGR234 is required for type III-dependent protein secretion and synthesis of rhamnose-rich polysaccharides. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. **17**, 958–966 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 46 Zehner, S., Schober, G., Wenzel, M., Lang, K. and Göttfert, M. (2008) Expression of the Bradyrhizobium japonicum type III secretion system in legume nodules and analysis of the associated *tts* box promoter. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. **21**, 1087–1093 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 47 Wassem, R., Kobayashi, H., Kambara, K., Le Quere, A., Walker, G.C., Broughton, W.J. and Deakin, W.J. (2008) Ttsl regulates symbiotic genes in *Rhizobium* species NGR234 by binding to *tts* boxes. Mol. Microbiol. **68**, 736–748 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 48 Kobayashi, H., Naciri-Graven, Y., Broughton, W.J. and Perret, X. (2004) Flavonoids induce temporal shifts in gene-expression of *nod*-box controlled loci in *Rhizobium* sp. NGR234. Mol. Microbiol. **51**, 335–347 CrossRef PubMed
- 49 López-Baena, F.J., Vinardell, J.M., Perez-Montano, F., Crespo-Rivas, J.C., Bellogin, R.A., Espuny, M.R. and Ollero, F.J. (2008) Regulation and symbiotic significance of nodulation outer proteins secretion in *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103. Microbiology **154**, 1825–1836 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 50 Lee, S.G., Krishnan, H.B. and Jez, J.M. (2014) Structural basis for regulation of rhizobial nodulation and symbiosis gene expression by the regulatory protein NoIR. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **111**, 6509–6514 CrossRef PubMed
- 51 Sadowsky, M.J., Olson, E.R., Foster, V.E., Kosslak, R.M. and Verma, D.P. (1988) Two host-inducible genes of *Rhizobium fredii* and characterization of the inducing compound. J. Bacteriol. **170**, 171–178 PubMed
- 52 Boundy-Mills, K.L., Kosslak, R.M., Tully, R.E., Pueppke, S.G., Lohrke, S. and Sadowsky, M.J. (1994) Induction of the *Rhizobium fredii nod* box-independent nodulation gene *nol*J requires a functional *nod*D1 gene. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. **7**, 305–308 CrossRef
- 53 Michiels, J., Pelemans, H., Vlassak, K., Verreth, C. and Vanderleyden, J. (1995) Identification and characterization of a *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *phaseoli* gene that is important for nodulation competitiveness and shows structural homology to a *Rhizobium fredii* host-inducible gene. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. **8**, 468–472 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 54 Heron, D.S. and Pueppke, S.G. (1987) Regulation of nodulation in the soybean-rhizobium symbiosis: strain and cultivar variability. Plant Physiol. 84, 1391–1396 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 55 Heron, D.S., Ersek, T., Krishnan, H.B. and Pueppke, S.G. (1989) Nodulation mutants of *Rhizobium fredii* USDA257. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 2, 4–10 <u>CrossRef</u>
- 56 Dai, W.J., Zeng, Y., Xie, Z.P. and Staehelin, C. (2008) Symbiosis-promoting and deleterious effects of NopT, a novel type 3 effector of *Rhizobium* sp. strain NGR234. J. Bacteriol. **190**, 5101–5110 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 57 Kambara, K., Ardissone, S., Kobayashi, H., Saad, M.M., Schumpp, O., Broughton, W.J. and Deakin, W.J. (2009) Rhizobia utilize pathogen-like effector proteins during symbiosis. Mol. Microbiol. **71**, 92–106 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 58 Zhang, L., Chen, X.J., Lu, H.B., Xie, Z.P. and Staehelin, C. (2011) Functional analysis of the type 3 effector nodulation outer protein L (NopL) from *Rhizobium* sp. NGR234: symbiotic effects, phosphorylation, and interference with mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling. J. Biol. Chem. **286**, 32178–32187 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 59 Heron, D.S. and Pueppke, S.G. (1984) Mode of infection, nodulation specificity, and indigenous plasmids of 11 fast-growing *Rhizobium japonicum* strains. J. Bacteriol. **160**, 1061–1066 <u>PubMed</u>
- 60 Chatterjee, A., Balatti, P.A., Gibbons, W. and Pueppke, S.G. (1990) Interaction of *Rhizobium fredii* USDA257 and nodulation mutants derived from it with the agronomically improved soybean cultivar McCall. Planta **180**, 303–311 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 61 Meinhardt, L.W., Krishnan, H.B., Balatti, P.A. and Pueppke, S.G. (1993) Molecular cloning and characterization of a sym plasmid locus that regulates cultivar-specific nodulation of soybean by *Rhizobium fredii* USDA257. Mol. Microbiol. 9, 17–29 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 62 Balatti, P.A., Kovacs, L.G., Krishnan, H.B. and Pueppke, S.G. (1995) *Rhizobium* sp. NGR234 contains a functional copy of soybean cultivar specificty locus, *nolXWBTUV*. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 8, 693–699 <u>CrossRef</u>
- 63 Kovács, L.G., Balatti, P.A., Krishnan, H.B. and Pueppke, S.G. (1995) Transcriptional organization and expression of *noIXWBTUV*, a locus that regulates cultivar-specific nodulation of soybean by *Rhizobium fredii* USDA257. Mol. Microbiol. **17**, 923–933 CrossRef PubMed

- 64 Krishnan, H.B. and Pueppke, S.G. (1993) Flavonoid inducers of nodulation genes stimulate *Rhizobium fredii* USDA257 to export proteins into the environment. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 6, 107–113 CrossRef PubMed
- 65 Freiberg, C., Fellay, R., Bairoch, A., Broughton, W.J., Rosenthal, A. and Perret, X. (1997) Molecular basis of symbiosis between *Rhizobium* and legumes. Nature **387**, 394–401 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 66 Krishnan, H.B., Kim, W.S. and Jeong, S.H. (2007) Calcium regulates the production of nodulation outer proteins (Nops) and precludes pili formation by *Sinorhizobium fredii* USDA257, a soybean symbiont. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **271**, 59–64 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 67 Krishnan, H.B., Natarajan, S.S. and Kim, W.S. (2011) Distinct cell surface appendages produced by *Sinorhizobium fredii* USDA257 and *S. fredii* USDA191, cultivar-specific and nonspecific symbionts of soybean. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **77**, 6240–6248 CrossRef PubMed
- 68 Lorio, J.C., Kim, W.S. and Krishnan, H.B. (2004) NopB, a soybean cultivar-specificity protein from *Sinorhizobium fredii* USDA257, is a type III secreted protein. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. **17**, 1259–1268 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 69 Saad, M.M., Kobayashi, H., Marie, C., Brown, I.R., Mansfield, J.W., Broughton, W.J. and Deakin, W.J. (2005) NopB, a type III secreted protein of *Rhizobium* sp. strain NGR234, is associated with pilus-like surface appendages. J. Bacteriol. **187**, 1173–1181 CrossRef PubMed
- 70 Hempel, J., Zehner, S., Göttfert, M. and Patschkowski, T. (2009) Analysis of the secretome of the soybean symbiont *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. J. Biotechnol. **140**, 51–58 CrossRef PubMed
- 71 Rodrigues, J.A., Lopez-Baena, F.J., Ollero, F.J., Vinardell, J.M., Espuny, M.R., Bellogin, R.A., Ruiz-Sainz, J.E., Thomas, J.R., Sumpton, D., Ault, J. and Thomas-Oates, J. (2007) NopM and NopD are rhizobial nodulation outer proteins: identification using LC-MALDI and LC-ESI with a monolithic capillary column. J. Proteome Res. 6, 1029–1037 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 72 Sánchez, C., Mercante, V., Babuin, M.F. and Lepek, V.C. (2012) Dual effect of Mesorhizobium loti T3SS functionality on the symbiotic process. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 330, 148–156 CrossRef PubMed
- 73 Schirrmeister, J., Zocher, S., Flor, L., Göttfert, M. and Zehner, S. (2013) The domain of unknown function DUF1521 exhibits metal ion-inducible autocleavage activity: a novel example from a putative effector protein of *Vibrio coralliilyticus* ATCC BAA-450. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **343**, 177–182 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 74 Marie, C., Deakin, W.J., Viprey, V., Kopcinska, J., Golinowski, W., Krishnan, H.B., Perret, X. and Broughton, W.J. (2003) Characterization of Nops, nodulation outer proteins, secreted via the type III secretion system of NGR234. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 16, 743–751 CrossRef PubMed
- 75 Xin, D.W., Liao, S., Xie, Z.P., Hann, D.R., Steinle, L., Boller, T. and Staehelin, C. (2012) Functional analysis of NopM, a novel E3 ubiquitin ligase (NEL) domain effector of *Rhizobium* sp. strain NGR234. PLoS Pathog. 8, e1002707 CrossRef PubMed
- 76 Degnan, P.H., Yu, Y., Sisneros, N., Wing, R.A. and Moran, N.A. (2009) *Hamiltonella defensa*, genome evolution of protective bacterial endosymbiont from pathogenic ancestors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **106**, 9063–9068 CrossRef PubMed
- 77 Ausmees, N., Kobayashi, H., Deakin, W.J., Marie, C., Krishnan, H.B., Broughton, W.J. and Perret, X. (2004) Characterization of NopP, a type III secreted effector of *Rhizobium* sp. strain NGR234. J. Bacteriol. **186**, 4774–4780 CrossRef PubMed
- 78 Skorpil, P., Saad, M.M., Boukli, N.M., Kobayashi, H., Ares-Orpel, F., Broughton, W.J. and Deakin, W.J. (2005) NopP, a phosphorylated effector of *Rhizobium* sp. strain NGR234, is a major determinant of nodulation of the tropical legumes *Flemingia congesta* and *Tephrosia vogelii*. Mol. Microbiol. **57**, 1304–1317 CrossRef PubMed
- 79 López-Baena, F.J., Monreal, J.A., Perez-Montano, F., Guasch-Vidal, B., Bellogin, R.A., Vinardell, J.M. and Ollero, F.J. (2009) The absence of Nops secretion in *Sinorhizobium fredii* HH103 increases *GmPR1* expression in Williams soybean. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 22, 1445–1454 CrossRef PubMed
- 80 Caldelari Baumberger, I., Fraefel, N., Göttfert, M. and Hennecke, H. (2003) New NodWor NifA-regulated *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* genes. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. 16, 342–351 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 81 Mukaihara, T. and Tamura, N. (2009) Identification of novel *Ralstonia solanacearum* type III effector proteins through translocation analysis of *hrpB*-regulated gene products. Microbiol. **155**, 2235–2244 <u>CrossRef</u>
- 82 Ishikawa, K., Yamaguchi, K., Sakamoto, K., Yoshimura, S., Inoue, K., Tsuge, S., Kojima, C. and Kawasaki, T. (2014) Bacterial effector modulation of host E3 ligase activity suppresses PAMP-triggered immunity in rice. Nat. Commun. 5, 5430 CrossRef PubMed
- 83 Saad, M.M., Staehelin, C., Broughton, W.J. and Deakin, W.J. (2008) Protein-protein interactions within type III secretion system-dependent pill of *Rhizobium* sp. strain NGR234. J. Bacteriol. **190**, 750–754 CrossRef PubMed
- 84 Okazaki, S., Kaneko, T., Sato, S. and Saeki, K. (2013) Hijacking of leguminous nodulation signaling by the rhizobial type III secretion system. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **110**, 17131–17136 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>

- 85 Perret, X., Freiberg, C., Rosenthal, A., Broughton, W.J. and Fellay, R. (1999) High-resolution transcriptional analysis of the symbiotic plasmid of *Rhizobium* sp. NGR234. Mol. Microbiol. **32**, 415–425 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 86 Robledo, M., Jimenez-Zurdo, J.I., Velazquez, E., Trujillo, M.E., Zurdo-Pineiro, J.L., Ramirez-Bahena, M.H., Ramos, B., Diaz-Minguez, J.M., Dazzo, F., Martinez-Molina, E. and Mateos, P.F. (2008) *Rhizobium* cellulase CelC2 is essential for primary symbiotic infection of legume host roots. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **105**, 7064–7069 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 87 Xie, F., Murray, J.D., Kim, J., Heckmann, A.B., Edwards, A., Oldroyd, G.E.D. and Downie, A. (2012) Legume pectate lyase required for root infection by rhizobia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **109**, 633–638 CrossRef PubMed
- 88 Schirrmeister, J., Friedrich, L., Wenzel, M., Hoppe, M., Wolf, C., Göttfert, M. and Zehner, S. (2011) Characterization of the self-cleaving effector protein NopE1 of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. J. Bacteriol. **193**, 3733–3739 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 89 Bartsev, A.V., Deakin, W.J., Boukli, N.M., McAlvin, C.B., Stacey, G., Malnoe, P., Broughton, W.J. and Staehelin, C. (2004) NopL, an effector protein of *Rhizobium* sp. NGR234, thwarts activation of plant defense reactions. Plant Physiol. **134**, 871–879 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 90 Bartsev, A.V., Boukli, N.M., Deakin, W.J., Staehelin, C. and Broughton, W.J. (2003) Purification and phosphorylation of the effector protein NopL from *Rhizobium* sp. NGR234. FEBS Lett. **554**, 271–274 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 91 Rohde, J.R., Breitkreutz, A., Chenal, A., Sansonetti, P.J. and Parsot, C. (2007) Type III secretion effectors of the IpaH family are E3 ubiquitin ligases. Cell Host Microbe 1, 77–83 CrossRef PubMed
- 92 Fotiadis, C.T., Dimou, M., Georgakopoulos, D.G., Katinakis, P. and Tampakaki, A.P. (2012) Functional characterization of NopT1 and NopT2, two type III effectors of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **327**, 66–77 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 93 Dowen, R.H., Engel, J.L., Shao, F., Ecker, J.R. and Dixon, J.E. (2009) A family of bacterial cysteine protease type III effectors utilizes acylation-dependent and -independent strategies to localize to plasma membranes. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 15867–15879 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 94 Gourion, B., Berrabah, F., Ratet, P. and Stacey, G. (2015) *Rhizobium*–legume symbioses: the crucial role of plant immunity. Trends Plant Sci. 20, 186–194 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 95 Lee, W., Jeong, N., Indrasumunar, A., Gresshoff, P. and Jeong, S.-C. (2011) *Glycine max* non-nodulation locus *rj1*: a recombinogenic region encompassing a SNP in a lysine motif receptor-like kinase (*GmNFR1α*). Theor. Appl. Genet. **122**, 875–884 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 96 Tirichine, L., Sandal, N., Madsen, L.H., Radutoiu, S., Albrektsen, A.S., Sato, S., Asamizu, E., Tabata, S. and Stougaard, J. (2007) A gain-of-function mutation in a cytokinin receptor triggers spontaneous root nodule organogenesis. Science **315**, 104–107 CrossRef PubMed
- 97 Hann, D.R., Dominguez-Ferreras, A., Motyka, V., Dobrev, P.I., Schornack, S., Jehle, A., Felix, G., Chinchilla, D., Rathjen, J.P. and Boller, T. (2014) The *Pseudomonas* type III effector HopQ1 activates cytokinin signaling and interferes with plant innate immunity. New Phytol. **201**, 585–598 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 98 Cui, H., Tsuda, K. and Parker, J.E. (2015) Effector-triggered immunity: from pathogen perception to robust defense. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 66, 487–511 CrossRef PubMed
- 99 Puri, N., Jenner, C., Bennett, M., Stewart, R., Mansfield, J., Lyons, N. and Taylor, J. (1997) Expression of *avrPphB*, an avirulence gene from *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *phaseolicola*, and the delivery of signals causing the hypersensitive reaction in bean. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. **10**, 247–256 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>
- 100 Yang, S.M., Tang, F., Gao, M.Q., Krishnan, H.B. and Zhu, H.Y. (2010) R gene-controlled host specificity in the legume–rhizobia symbiosis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **107**, 18735–18740 CrossRef PubMed
- 101 Hayashi, M., Saeki, Y., Haga, M., Harada, K., Kouchi, H. and Umehara, Y. (2012) *Rj* (*rj*) genes involved in nitrogen-fixing root nodule formation in soybean. Breeding Sci. **61**, 544–553 CrossRef
- 102 Caldwell, B.E. (1966) Inheritance of a strain-specific ineffective nodulation in soybeans. Crop Sci. 6, 427–428 CrossRef
- 103 Trese, A. (1995) A single dominant gene in McCall soybean prevents effective nodulation with *Rhizobium fredii* USDA257. Euphytica 81, 279–282 CrossRef
- 104 Tsurumaru, H., Kanesaki, Y., Hashimoto, S., Okizaki, K., Yoshikawa, H. and Yamakawa, T. (2014) Draft genome sequence of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* Is-34, which is incompatible with *Rj4* genotype soybeans. Genome Announc. 2, e01316–14 CrossRef PubMed
- 105 Tang, F., Yang, S.M., Liu, J.E., Gao, M.Q. and Zhu, H.Y. (2014) Fine mapping of the *Rj4* locus, a gene controlling nodulation specificity in soybean. Mol. Breeding **33**, 691–700 <u>CrossRef</u>
- 106 Hayashi, M., Shiro, S., Kanamori, H., Mori-Hosokawa, S., Sasaki-Yamagata, H., Sayama, T., Nishioka, M., Takahashi, M., Ishimoto, M., Katayose, Y. et al. (2014) A thaumatin-like protein, Rj4, controls nodule symbiotic specificity in soybean. Plant Cell Physiol. 55, 1679–1689 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>

107 Liu, J.J., Sturrock, R. and Ekramoddoullah, A.K. (2010) The superfamily of thaumatin-like proteins: its origin, evolution, and expression towards biological function. Plant Cell Rep. 29, 419–436 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>

Received 30 April 2015/19 June 2015; accepted 21 June 2015 Version of Record published 4 September 2015, doi:10.1042/BJ20150518 108 Bladergroen, M.R., Badelt, K. and Spaink, H.P. (2003) Infection-blocking genes of a symbiotic *Rhizobium leguminosarum* strain that are involved in temperature-dependent protein secretion. Mol. Plant Microbe Interact. **16**, 53–64 <u>CrossRef PubMed</u>